

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/661,358	Applicant(s) CROFT ET AL.	
	Examiner ILIA OUSPENSKI	Art Unit 1644	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) ILIA OUSPENSKI

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) ROBERT BEDGOOD

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)